



PMIX Operations Subcommittee

Date/time: Tuesday, February 9, 1-2p ET (12-1p CT, 11a-12p MT, 10-11a PT)
Meeting Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 951243620#

Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
California	Tina Farales	Y
Delaware	Jason Slavoski	N
Florida	Erika Marshall	N
Georgia	Vlad Schorstein	Y
Kentucky	Jean Hall	Y
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	Y
Missouri – St Louis County	Haley Alder	Y
Nebraska	Kevin Borcher	Y
New York	Josh Vinciguerra	N
New York	Kim Leonard	N
New York	Alexandra Bontempo	Y
Washington	Matthew Reid	N
Washington	Eric Grace	Y
Washington	Sasha De Leon	N
Other:		
Appriss	Sheila Sullivan	N
BizTek	Denise Robertson	N
NABP	Danna Droz	Y
NIC	Kevin Schmidt	Y
OpiSafe	Colin Benjamin	Y
Scriptulate	Neil Chatterjee, MD	N
Sherry Green & Associates	Sherry Green	Y
Committee Support:		
CDC	Wes Sargent	N
ONC	Carmen Smiley	N
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue, Jim Giglio	Y

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call

Quorum established.

➤ Approval of Minutes from 1-12-2021

Motion to approve the minutes made by Kevin Schmidt (NIC); seconded by Vlad Schorstein (GA).
Unanimously approved.

➤ Questions from last meeting:

Colin Benjamin (OpiSafe) had a question from last meeting about audit trails being able to identify the provider and delegate via the hubs and PDMP system. Jean Hall (KY) stated that neither hub can pass delegates tied to a master account holder through the hub. The KY system does tie the delegate and master to the request and it can be passed to another state. Danna Droz (NABP) stated that all PDMPs can tie delegates and masters within their systems. However, passing that data through the hubs is not possible, but linking with audit trail could be done via the PDMP. Jean Hall (KY) stated that the technology is the 'delegate' and runs the PDMP query for integrated provider; that's why delegates are not allowed to have access via integration.

➤ SynthRx project document

Kevin Borchert (NE) mentioned that the patient matching workgroup is developing a document detailing a project to develop a synthetic data set for PDMP development and testing. He also stated that on yesterday's meeting, the workgroup discussed address normalization as part of the Project US@. ONC is having monthly meetings on this topic with a June deadline for recommendations.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #1: Identify Functionality for data sharing hubs - Finalize

- Expand current schema to improve audit trails to track request to requestor and/or master account level (if delegate made request) (GA and CA)
- Data clean-up to increase match rates (ONC)
- Provider repository (NE and ME)
- Transparent and seamless interaction between existing hubs without requiring the user to select a hub (FL)
- Interoperability between hubs

- Improve search capabilities of audit trail information (KY)
- Robust data security of information (GA)
- Data translation between various versions of standards to move data across systems (ONC)
- Dynamic dashboard detailing agreements (e.g., state worksheets) between state PDMPs (GA)
- Allow data provenance (e.g., meta-data) to know when last updates were made (e.g., name changes) to help reconcile information across systems (ONC)
- Allow for additional data to be used within current security and confidentiality parameters (second call for information) (ONC)
- Central patient repository used to only check appropriate sources that might have additional information for matching (NIC)

Kevin Borchert (NE) reviewed each above item for discussion. During discussion on bullet #1, Jean Hall (KY) suggested that this group consider developing educational materials explaining data flow diagrams for PDMPs. Jean Hall (KY), Vlad Schorstein (GA), Colin Benjamin (OpiSafe), Kevin Schmidt (NIC), and Danna Droz (NABP) volunteered to join workgroup to work on this with TTAC facilitating. Consensus of group was to move bullet #4 to Subcommittee Goal #2.

Due to time, begin discussion at next meeting with 'interoperability between hubs'.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #2: Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing

Per above discussion, add "Transparent and seamless interaction between existing hubs without requiring the user to select a hub (FL)" for inclusion.

➤ PMIX Website

Not covered due to time.

➤ Other Business

- Timeframe to implement schema proposals:

Not covered due to time.

➤ Next Meeting – Tuesday, March 9th at 1-2p ET

➤ Action Item

TTAC will coordinated workgroup to develop data flow diagram.