



PMIX Operations Subcommittee

Date/time: Tuesday, May 11, 1-2p ET (12-1p CT, 11a-12p MT, 10-11a PT)

Meeting Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 951243620#

Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
California	Tina Farales	Y
Delaware	Jason Slavoski	N
Florida	Erika Marshall	N
Georgia	Vlad Schorstein	Y
Kentucky	Jean Hall	Y
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	N
Missouri	Haley Alder	Y
Nebraska	Kevin Borchert	Y
New York	Alexandra Bontempo	Y
Washington	Eric Grace	Y
Other:		
Appriss	Sheila Sullivan	N
BizTek	Denise Robertson	N
NABP	Danna Droz	Y
NIC	Celena Wheeler	Y
OpiSafe	Colin Benjamin	Y
Scriptulate	Neil Chatterlee, MD	N
Sherry Green & Associates	Sherry Green	Y
Committee Support:		
CDC	Wes Sargent	N
ONC	Carmen Smiley	N
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue, Jim Giglio	Y

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call

Quorum established.

➤ Approval of Minutes from 4-13-2021

Motion to approve the minutes was made by Sherry Green (Sherry Green and Associates); seconded by Vlad Schorstein (GA). Unanimously approved.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #1: Identify Functionality for data sharing hubs – Survey Results and Finalize

Subcommittee reviewed survey results and discussed each item (see below).

Danna Droz (NABP) suggested that the items be categorized as affecting hubs, PDMPs, or both. TTAC will send out a new survey on hub-related items (highlighted below) with response options as: PMIX Standard, Best Practice, Recommended Guideline, Other; results will be reviewed and ratified at next meeting.

- *Expand current schema to improve audit trails to track request to requestor and/or master account level (if delegate made request) (GA and CA)*
 - Survey results: 5 PMIX Standard, 2 Best Practices, 2 Recommended Guideline, 2 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and Hubs
- *Data clean-up to increase match rates (ONC)*
 - Survey results: 3 PMIX Standard, 6 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 1 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs
- *Provider repository (NE and ME)*
 - Survey results: 0 PMIX Standard, 4 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 6 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs or Hubs
 - Discussion points: may have statutory limitations; concern about unforeseen use of the repository; need to further discuss the handling of medical residents' DEA; User role and DEA/NPI format validated at hub-level; DEA/NPI number validated at PDMP-level; **add for discussion on next meeting's agenda**

- *Transparent and seamless interaction between existing hubs without requiring the user to select a hub (FL)*
 - Survey results: 4 PMIX Standard, 2 Best Practices, 2 Recommended Guideline, 3 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs
- *Interoperability between hubs*
 - Survey results: 8 PMIX Standard, 0 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 2 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: Hubs
- *Improve search capabilities of audit trail information (KY)*
 - Survey results: 4 PMIX Standard, 3 Best Practices, 2 Recommended Guideline, 1 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and Hubs
- *Robust data security of information (GA)*
 - Survey results: 7 PMIX Standard, 1 Best Practices, 2 Recommended Guideline, 0 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and Hubs
 - Discussion points: item refers to further use of PDMP data (Georgia law); Jean Hall (KY) suggested that the existing security standard be modified to address this
- *Data translation between various versions of standards to move data across systems (ONC)*
 - Survey results: 3 PMIX Standard, 4 Best Practices, 2 Recommended Guideline, 1 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and Hubs
 - Discussion points: need to ensure backward compatibility is addressed
- *Dynamic dashboard detailing agreements (e.g., state worksheets) between state PDMPs (GA)*
 - Survey results: 3 PMIX Standard, 3 Best Practices, 3 Recommended Guideline, 2 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: Hubs
- *Allow data provenance (e.g., meta-data) to know when last updates were made (e.g., name changes) to help reconcile information across systems (ONC)*
 - Survey results: 2 PMIX Standard, 4 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 4 Need Further Discussion

- Affect upon: PDMPs
- Discussion points: **add for discussion on next meeting's agenda**
- *Allow for additional data to be used within current security and confidentiality parameters (second call for information) (ONC)*
 - Survey results: 2 PMIX Standard, 1 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 7 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and possibly Hubs
 - Discussion points: **add for discussion on next meeting's agenda**
- *Central patient repository used to only check appropriate sources that might have additional information for matching (NIC)*
 - Survey results: 1 PMIX Standard, 1 Best Practices, 1 Recommended Guideline, 7 Need Further Discussion
 - Affect upon: PDMPs and possibly Hubs
 - Discussion points: may have statutory limitations; concern about unforeseen use of the repository; **add for discussion on next meeting's agenda**

➤ Patient Matching Workgroup

Not covered due to time.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #2: Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing

Not covered due to time.

➤ PMIX Website

Not covered due to time.

➤ Next Meeting – Tuesday, June 8th at 1-2p ET

➤ Action Items

TTAC will send out a new survey on hub-related items