



## PMIX Operations Subcommittee

**Date/time:** Tuesday, December 14, 1-2p ET (12-1p CT, 11a-12p MT, 10-11a PT)

**Meeting Link:** [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 951243620#

### Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
<b>State Representatives:</b>		
California	Tina Farales	N
Delaware	Jason Slavoski	N
Florida	Erika Marshall	N
Georgia	Vlad Schorstein	Y
Kentucky	Jean Hall	Y
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	Y
Missouri	Haley Alder	N
Nebraska	Kevin Borchert, Naresh Sundar Rajan	Y
New York	Kassandra Palmer, Jeff Jensen, John Hoey	Y
Washington	Eric Grace, Jennifer Kang	Y
<b>Other:</b>		
Bamboo Health	Natalie Browning, Austin Lehman, Heather Kaluza	Y
BizTek	Denise Robertson	Y
IJIS	Ron Larsen	Y
NABP	Danna Droz	Y
NIC	Celena Wheeler, Christie Frick	Y
OpiSafe	Chris Ennis	N
Scriptulate	Neil Chatterlee, MD	N
Sherry Green & Associates	Sherry Green	Y
Tetrus	Sanjay Ungarala	N
<b>Committee Support:</b>		
CDC	Wes Sargent	N
ONC	Carmen Smiley	N
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue, Jim Giglio, Don Vogt	Y

## Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

### ➤ Roll Call (10 for quorum)

Quorum established

### ➤ Approval of Minutes from 7-13-2021, 9-14-2021, 10-13-2021, and 11-9-2021

- Motion to approve the 7-13-21 minutes made by Jean Hall (KY); seconded by Jennifer Marlowe (ME). Unanimously approved.
- Motion to approve the 9-14-21 minutes made by Jean Hall (KY); seconded by Eric Grace (WA). Unanimously approved.
- Motion to approve the 10-13-21 minutes made by Jennifer Marlowe (ME); seconded by Jean Hall (KY). Unanimously approved.
- Motion to approve the 11-9-21 minutes made by Jennifer Marlowe (ME); seconded by Eric Grace (WA). Unanimously approved.

### ➤ Patient Matching Workgroup

Kevin Borchert (NE) stated the workgroup conducted a patient matching survey to identify challenges, opportunities, and potential consequences with patient matching and discussed the results. The top survey results will be focused on by the workgroup. There will be a meeting with ONC to identify other patient matching activities in progress. Jean Hall (KY) added that the workgroup is discussing the development of a synthetic PDMP dataset.

### ➤ Subcommittee Goal #1: Identify Functionality for data sharing hubs

- ✓ Expand current schema to improve audit trails to track request to requestor and/or master account level (if delegate made request) – approved to send to PMIX Executive Committee
- ✓ Interoperability between hubs – discuss in more detail with technical and business people
- ✓ Robust data security of information – discuss with security expert
- ✓ Improve search capabilities of audit trail information - presentation on data elements
- × Transparent and seamless interaction between existing hubs without requiring the user to select a hub (FL)
- × Data translation between various versions of standards to move data across systems (ONC)

- *Data clean-up to increase match rates (ONC)*  
Kevin Borchert (NE) has not talked with Carmen Smiley (ONC) about this yet.
- × **Provider repository (NE and ME)**  
TTAC will work with IJIS to develop a demo for the January or February meeting. Natalie Browning (Bamboo Health) asked for more details on this topic.

The group broke this topic into various use cases to discuss whether it should be included in hub functionality:

- Provider information present
- Provider information validity
- Provider authorized to access data

Consensus of the group was that this functionality is out of scope for hubs since it is already occurring with the PDMPs or should be developed elsewhere (i.e., PDMP vendor or in-house system).

- ✓ **Dynamic dashboard detailing agreements (e.g., state worksheets) between state PDMPs (GA)**  
Kevin Borchert (NE) mentioned that PMPi has this functionality currently and RxCheck will have it with v3.0.
- *Allow data provenance (e.g., meta-data) to know when last updates were made (e.g., name changes) to help reconcile information across systems (ONC)*  
Jean Hall (KY) stated that this may be functionality that is needed when reconciling records with EHRs and this does not match with current processes of PDMPs. Danna Droz (NABP) stated that PDMPs rely on what data transmitters provide. This would be difficult to implement as part of pharmacy recordkeeping systems and hubs would need to open the secure envelope to reconcile the information. Will continue this discussion on the next call.
- *Allow for additional data to be used within current security and confidentiality parameters (second call for information) (ONC)*
- *Central patient repository used to only check appropriate sources that might have additional information for matching (NIC)*

- Subcommittee Goal #2: Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing
- Next Meeting – Tuesday, January 11<sup>th</sup>
- Action Items