



PMIX Technical Subcommittee

Date/time: Wednesday, January 20th at 3-4p ET (2-3p CT, 1-2p MT, 12-1p PT)

Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 724366885#

Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
California	Tina Farales; Bhaskar Rudrakshala	N
Georgia	Shari Ramchal	Y
Kentucky	Julio Mendoza, Jean Hall	Y
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	N
Massachusetts	Rodd Kelly	Y
Nebraska	Naresh Sundar Rajan	Y
North Carolina	Depris Price	Y
Ohio	Chad Garner	N
Pennsylvania	Stan Murzynski	Y
Utah	Ronald Larsen; Destiny Calvano	Y
Washington	Eric Grace	Y
Other:		
Appriss	Clay Rogers	Y
BizTek	Diwakar Cherukumilli	N
Carradora	Mike Flanigan	Y
IJIS Institute/Tetrus	Robert May	Y
NIC	Kevin Schmidt	N
PastRx	Robert Cassidy	N
Logicoy	Fred Aabedi	N
OpiSafe	Chris Ennis	Y
Tom Carlson Consulting	Tom Carlson	N
Committee Support:		
PDMP TTAC	Jim Giglio, Patrick Knue	Y

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call

Quorum established.

➤ Approval of Meeting's Minutes from 12-16-2020

Motion to approve the minutes was made by Naresh Sundar Rajan (NE); seconded by Stan Murzynski (PA). Unanimously approved.

➤ Best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing queries

As a preface to the scenarios, add in details about query types and currency of the PDMP data.

- Scenario #5 – continuation from last month's call. This scenario refers to storing the record within the EHR. The data is viewable, but not computable (i.e., read only); there is no medication reconciliation. The data is static until someone does something with it. May need to provide education to users about the static data and use/manipulation can vary on state-by-state basis. The patient's PDMP query results are static and need to be refreshed for future.
- Scenario #6 – PDMP data is aggregated for medication reconciliation and displayed in the EHR. Although it may be technologically possible, need to confirm if permissible under state laws. This scenario may be futuristic, but an option that should be included in this document. Perhaps discuss with EHR vendors about feasibility and applicability of this scenario.

From last month's call, Jean Hall (KY) and Clay Rogers (Appriss) will draft a new scenario to deal with credential exchange. They will write it up and send to TTAC for inclusion in the document. Next steps: finalize wording on scenarios and present to the Executive Committee.

➤ Other Business

None mentioned.

➤ Next Meeting – Wednesday, February 17th at 3-4p ET

➤ Goals

GOAL	Technical
Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing queries	1st
Hub to Hub Interoperability - Document the previous work that proved the feasibility of hub to hub interoperability. Consider whether this should be a standard.	1st
Identify functionality for data sharing hubs	2nd
Multi-state health system rules sets in different states; explain challenges/opportunities	2nd

➤