



PMIX Executive Committee

Date/time: Wednesday, March 23rd at 12-1p ET (11a-12p CT, 10-11a MT, 9-10a PT)

Meeting Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 307546825#

Conference Call Attendance

Region	Voting Members:				Alternate Member:	
	Attendance:		Attendance:		Attendance:	
North	Chad Garner (OH)	Y	Haley Winans (MI)	Y	Kevin Borchert (NE)	Y
East	Stan Murzynski (PA)	Y	Jason Slavoski (DE)	Y	Scott Szalkiewicz (CT)	Y
South	Stephanie Mueller (MS)	Y	Jean Hall (KY)	Y	Vacant	
West	Tina Farales (CA)	Y	Darla Zarley (NV)	Y	Vacant	

Federal:	Name:	Attendance:
Bureau of Justice Assistance	David Lewis	
Executive Committee Support:		
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Patrick Knue	Y
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Don Vogt	Y
Guests:		

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call

Quorum achieved

➤ Approval of Minutes from 2-23-2022

Darla motions

Jean seconds

No discussion

Minutes approved

➤ Subcommittee Updates – Minutes Review

- Operations Subcommittee – Kevin Borchert (NE)
Kevin Borchert (NE) gave the update meeting on March 8th. The group reviewed the goals and agreed to go over goal 1 and then move to goal two. The group had a good discussion about patient matching and the patient matching work group is working to identify how to approve it based on ASAP and its new version. Kevin Borchert (NE) wants the patient matching work group to work on specific of patient matching recommendations that the PMIX could take to ASAP.
- Technical Architecture Subcommittee – Jean Hall (KY)
Jean Hall (KY) gave the update. Hub to hub interoperability discussion and challenges of multistate data sharing an integration. A smaller subgroup was formed in January to diagram how hub/hub interoperability would work. Jean hall (KY) presented part of the presentation to the group. The information provided by the subgroup did help provide a better understanding to the hub-to-hub integration. Jean Hall (KY) did suggest sending this information to the operations group to get a “layperson’s view” presentation. Kevin Borchert (NE) felt this would benefit states trying

to onboard users. Scott Szalkiewicz (CT) had a direct experience where this would have been beneficial.

- **Standards Compliance Subcommittee – Chad Garner (OH)**
Chad Garner (OH) gave the update. The group did not meet but will meet next month.

➤ Review Goals

GOAL	Status	Committee	Year
Identify functionality for data sharing hubs	In Progress	Operations Subcommittee Technical Subcommittee	2020-2021
Creating a minimum standard of functionality of a PDMP; promote consistency among PDMPs; review NAMSDDL and/or NASCSA model act as starting point;	In Progress	Executive Committee	2020-2021
Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing queries	In Progress	Operations Subcommittee Technical Subcommittee	2020-2021
Explore engage pharmacy partners to improve data on front end	In Progress	Executive Committee Operations Subcommittee	2020-2021
Hub to Hub Interoperability - Document the previous work that proved the feasibility of hub to hub interoperability. Consider whether this should be a standard.	In Progress	Operations Subcommittee Technical Subcommittee	2020-2021
Promotion and education of PMIX organization and its work	Assigned to committee	Executive Committee	2020-2021
Multi-state health system rules sets in different states; explain challenges/opportunities	Assigned to committee	Operations Subcommittee Technical Subcommittee	2020-2021
Guidelines for problem resolution	Assigned to committee	Executive Committee	2020-2021
Data Submission Formats - Examine how the current data submission formats affect interstate data sharing.	In Progress	Executive Committee	2018-2019
Standards Compliance Process Implementation - Execute the defined Standards Compliance Process	In Progress	Standards Compliance Subcommittee	2018-2019

Commented [MS1]: Jean Hall (KY), agrees with keeping this. This would be a tool on how to approach data sharing. Chad Garner (OH) asked if this could go with the document Jean Hall shared during the subcommittee meeting. Chad Garner (OH) and Jean Hall (KY) think this and multi state would go together. Chad Garner (OH) suggested we combine this and the multistate goal.

Commented [MS2]: Jean Hall (KY) asked if we thought we all had the same problems. Chad Garner (OH) questions how much PMIX has the ability to affect that. Kevin Borchert (NE) thinks that this could be done by ASAP. Jason asked if we had talked about patient matching on the last call and that it was out of the per view of PMIX. Chad Garner (OH) did not think that it was patient matching, but minimum standards of PDMP. Jason Slavoski (DE) stated that he thought this discussion occurred at the last meeting. Kevin Borchert (NE) said the discussion occurred at the operation committee where it was decided PMIX could have best practices to improve data integrity for the data going through the language. Jason does not understand how variables PMIX could affect change to update patient matching. Don Vogt (IIR) state PMIX is just a protocol and feels it is outside the per view of PMIX to address this issue. Chad Garner (OH) questions whether this will be effective based on prior experience. Jean agreed that we should take it off. No-one was opposed to removal. It is removed.

Commented [MS3]: Jean Hall (KY) feels that documenting how it works and looking for challenge areas are more beneficial than this. Jason Slavoski (DE) agrees. This has been removed.

Commented [MS4]: Chad Garner (OH) stated that this is something we should do and know that the most recent standard caused a lot of questions. Jean Hall (KY) and Jason Slavoski (DE) agreed.

Commented [MS5]: Agreed for removal

Commented [MS6]: Jean Hall (KY) remembered that this is pertaining to how to handle issues such as problem searches and getting states to handle searches. Chad Garner (OH) thinks this would be resolved with MOUs. Jean Hall (KY) asked if the agreements contain problem resolutions. Chad Garner (OH) stated this may be more of a best practice. Jason Slavoski (DE) does not feel we could come up with something should handle because it is too legal focused. Chad Garner (OH) asked if this should go to a different group or not. Kevin Slavoski (DE) feels it could fit best under operations.

Commented [MS7]: Jean hall (KY) believes we did this because we had ASAP fields that were not in the standard.

Commented [MS8]: Jean hall (KY) believes this is completed. Chad Garner (OH) thinks this was complete apart from the standard and it will be ongoing as long as we are creating standards. Chad Garner (OH) suggested changing to create a process for new schemas.

➤ Other Business

- Jamie Turpin (AR) resigned; Jean Hall (KY) moved from alternate to voting member. Select alternates for South and West regions.

Chad Garner (OH) asked for new members. Jason Slavoski (DE) asked if Doug fell into that area, but he declined. Jean Hall (KY) suggested Eric from Washington and Valid from Georgia. Jason Slavoski (DE) asked if an email about which states fall into which region could be emailed out to the group. Pat Knue (IIR) shared the link. Kevin Borchner (NE) suggested Jeff Henry from Utah for the west region. Pat Knue (IIR) suggested Chesley from South Carolina. Kevin Borchner (NE) Suggest Kevin McCann from Virginia. Jason Slavoski (DE) asked what was the best way to get the people to reach out. Chad Garner (OH) suggested sending them to Pat Knue and CCing himself, then he would reach out to them.

- Standards Compliance Subcommittee -April 12th at 3-4p ET
 - Chad Garner (OH), Jean Hall (KY), Tom Dunn (NE), Katie Moran (Bamboo), Fred Aabedi (Logicoy)
- By-Laws Workgroup – April 14th at 10-11a ET
 - Chad Garner (OH), Tom Dunn (NE), Katie Moran (Bamboo), Fred Aabedi (Logicoy), Gayle Donaldson (KS), Kevin Borchner (NE), Ashley Carter (VA)

➤ Next Meeting: Wednesday, April 27th at 2-3p ET

➤ Action Items