PMIX Operations Subcommittee

Date/time: Tuesday, March 14th, 1-2p ET (12-1p CT, 11a-12p MT, 10-11a PT)
Meeting Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 951243620#

Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
Arizona	Doug Skvarla	Y
California	Austin Weaver	Y
Delaware		N
Florida		N
Georgia	Vlad Schorstein	Y
Kentucky		N
Maine		N
Maryland		N
Nebraska	Kevin Borcher	Y
New York	Shirley Madewell, Faye Rees, Svetlana Jensen	Y
Washington		N
Other:		
Bamboo Health	Austin Lehman, Jacob Cooper, Zohaib Salim	Y
IJIS	Ron Larsen	Y
Logicoy		N
NABP		N
NIC		N
OpiSafe		N
Scriptulate		N
Tetrus		N
Invited Guests		
BizTek		N
Committee Support:		
CDC		N
ONC		N
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue	Y

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call

Quorum not established

➤ Approval of Minutes from 11-8-2022 and 12-13-2022

Tabled until next meeting.

➤ Patient Matching Workgroup

Kevin Borcher (NE) stated that the workgroup met yesterday. The discussion centered on developing a synthetic data set to assist with improving patient matching challenges and processes. At April meeting, an update from ONC on their efforts is anticipated. He also mentioned upcoming grant opportunities.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #1 revisited: Define baseline functionality of interoperability hubs. Benefits/Challenges of hub interoperability.
   - Sent to Executive Committee; waiting on response

Doug Skvarla (AZ) mentioned that there has been new members elected to the Executive Committee. The new members expressed that they wished to have more time to review the recommendations before making a decision.

➤ Subcommittee Goal #2: Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing. Review the list and vote to send to Executive Committee.

Potential practices to explore:
   ➤ Seamless queries between workflow integration
   ➤ Accurate and complete patient matching
   ➤ Rapid response times for data or display
   ➤ Support for Support Act metrics for a qualified PDMP
   ➤ Having delegate access and audit trails tied to the delegator
   ➤ Monitoring of transaction metrics (i.e., request to response time, request to display time, number of queries, number of queries by user role)
   ➤ Review and comparison of state-to-state sharing rules
      o create an electronic resource for each state to do their comparisons before decision to share;
      o develop a template for the state worksheets which is more concise;

- o create method for updates to occur easily; match terms from one state to another (i.e., user roles glossary);
- o include link to state's citations to define roles;
- o develop method for each state to update their information;
- o include terminology/definitions section on roles, license types, etc; and
- o make available in a single place to ensure information is current and accurate.
- ➢ User/Provider authorization
- ➢ User authorization, authentication (DEA, NPI, or state license), and validation (need to provide clarity between roles, individuals, and groups)
- ➢ Legal requirements
  - o include MOU requirements;
  - o statute/regulation details;
  - o user types;
  - o security requirements;
  - o contacts for each PDMP;
  - o requirements for both interstate and integration;
  - o determine where it is housed; and
  - o who has access to information.
- ➢ PDMP Access to audit data (define the level of access for which type of audit data; ensure ability to know who searched what and when)
- ➢ Develop resources and training for users on integration/integration data sharing
- ➢ Develop resources and training about onboarding process to identify responsibilities for PDS, EHR, HIE, PDMPs
- ➢ Error and notification messaging (make messages available to users and PDMPs with clear meaning of each type of message)
- ➢ Appropriate security to prevent, detect, and remediate cyberattacks
- ➢ PDMP have separate access controls for intrastate users, interstate users, and integrated users (develop method to ensure access is dependent on type of user)

Vlad Schorstein (GA) mentioned that much of the data sharing/integration is handled by state vendors and this group wanted to detail the best practices from the PDMP perspective. Doug Skvarla (AZ) went point by point and asked for more details so that he had a better understanding. Kevin Borcher (NE) stated that the list needs additional context when the list is approved by the Executive Committee. Shirley Madewell (NY) added that there are in-house PDMP solutions which have different procedures/systems from those with vendors. Doug Skvarla (AZ) said that he will formulate his own questions on the list and reach out for responses/explanations, if needed. Consensus of members present was that the list was ready to send to the Executive Committee. The list will be voted upon when quorum is present.

➢ Other business

Doug Skvarla (AZ) requested that TTAC send out a Doodle Poll to subcommittees members to identify the best day/time and frequency of future meetings. Several members present stated that the current date/time works with their schedules; however there was interest in switching to quarterly meetings.

➢ Next Meeting – Tuesday, April 11th

RxSummit meeting is during that week. A decision on cancelling or rescheduling will be made following the survey.

➢ Action Items